

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,656	08/02/2006	Dickory Rudduck	PA046/CL 15451	4468
57346 TELEZYGOL	7590 06/23/200 OGY, INC.	EXAMINER		
520 W. ERIE	STREET, SUITE 210	BRITTAIN, JAMES R		
CHICAGO, II	, 60654		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3677	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/597,656	RUDDUCK ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
JAMES R. BRITTAIN	3677			

-	LAUIIIIICI	Aironn	
	JAMES R. BRITTAIN	3677	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DY Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the macrimum statutory period with the provision of 37 CPR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period reply is period above, the minimum statutory period with the provision of the p	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ap	oril 2009.		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		- - - - - - -	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			FR 1 121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f)	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	p,	(-/ (-/-	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received		
Certified copies of the priority documents		on No	
Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage
application from the International Bureau	•	a iii ano rianona.	Otago
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.	
	'		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/CE Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______. Notice of Informal Patent A
 Other: _____.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,656 Page 2

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Species I in the reply filed on April 2, 2009 is acknowledged.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: While applicant is using section headings from the international application, such is improper for the national filing and the section heading should be changed to conform with U.S. practice.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant asserts that the claim element "means" is a means (or step) plus function limitation that invokes 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. However, it is unclear whether the claim element is a means (or step) plus function limitation that invokes 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, because the appropriate use must include "means for" and so the use of "means" in claims 1, 5, 6, 8, 14, 16, 17-22 and 24 are of unclear scope. If

Application/Control Number: 10/597.656

Art Unit: 3677

applicant wishes to have the claim limitation treated under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, applicant is required to:

- (a) Amend the claim to include the phrase "means for" or "step for" in accordance with these guidelines: the phrase "means for" or "step for" must be modified by functional language and the phrase must **not** be modified by sufficient structure, material, or acts for performing the claimed function; or
- (b) Show that the claim limitation is written as a function to be performed and the claim does **not** recite sufficient structure, material, or acts for performing the claimed function which would preclude application of 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. For more information, see MPEP § 2181.

It is also noted that several of the "means" statements are inconsistent from their introduction to their later use in other claims and this creates a lack of proper antecedent basis that must be corrected.

The use of "optionally" (claim 14, line 11) and "and/or" (claim 16, line 6) fail to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of applicant's device and the alternative aspect must be deleted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. Application/Control Number: 10/597,656

Art Unit: 3677

Claims 1-10 and 14-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirchen et al. (US 5846039) in view of Hiraguri et al. (US 5707190). Kirchen et al. (figures 1-5) teaches a fastener including a longitudinal body 14, a first work engagement member 20, 40 mounted on the longitudinal body and adapted for movement relative thereto towards a work to be engaged, a second work engaging member 64 associated with the longitudinal body and a pawl 56 for adjusting the first work engaging member on the longitudinal body. The difference is that the second work engageable member acts in a folding manner and not as wings. It would have been obvious to use wings as the second work engaging member in view of Hiraguri et al. showing that rather than use folding engageable members as in figure 13 that it is preferable to use wings 20 as in figures 1 and 3d. As to claims 14, this method of assembly is obvious over the combined teachings of the references and with regard to claim 15 reversing the operation of the device of Hiraguri et al. through the threaded connection would permit the disassembly and thereby render obvious the subject matter. In regard to claim 16, further modification of Kirchen et al. so that rotation is required would have been obvious in view of Hiraguri et al. suggesting the use of rotation to create the movement. The structure of the remaining dependent claims is shown in either the pawl and ratchet tooth of Kirchen et al. or the threads of the device of Hiraguri et al. that define a spiral serration.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirchen et al. (US 5846039) in view of Hiraguri et al. (US 5707190) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Sato et al. (US 4347999).

Art Unit: 3677

Further modification of the device of Kirchen et al. so that it is used to secure a cable tie would have been obvious in view of Sato et al. (figures 3, 7) in which the band is inherently capable of securing a cable.

Claim 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirchen et al. (US 5846039) in view of Hiraguri et al. (US 5707190) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Yoshida et al. (4222303).

Further modification of the device of Kirchen et al. so that it is formed in a feeder strip would have been obvious in view of Yoshida et al. (figure 12) teaching such structure.

Conclusion

The patent to Kaibach et al. (US 5941668) teaches pertinent fastener structure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES R. BRITTAIN whose telephone number is (571)272-7065. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Victor Batson can be reached on (571) 272-6987. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,656 Page 6

Art Unit: 3677

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James R. Brittain/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3677

JRB